

Epigenome — V4 Target Opportunity Report (Public Edition)

Coverage/whitespace, trajectory & structural-decay signal, in one decision-first view

Target: Epigenome — programmable epigenome editing (non-DNA-cutting writers/erasers/recruiters) + small-molecule epigenetic drugs (HDAC, EZH2/EED/PRC2, DOT1L, menin-MLL, BET/BRD, DNMT, LSD1, TET). **Date:** 2026-07-23 · **Substrate:** **95 on-target patent families**, real filing span **1989→2025**. Expanded this run from an innovator-core base by exhaustively re-ingesting the fields flagged as round-1 sampling gaps (programmable editing/recruiters, epigenetic-target degraders/PROTACs, BET/DOT1L/TET inhibitors). **What this is for:** to let an inventor or BD&L reader *see* where the foundational core is lapsing, where the field is heading, **and — now that the gap fields are sampled — which empty cells are genuine whitespace versus coverage holes we still cannot see into.**

Read-me (the guardrails, once): This is **candidate generation + a coverage / trajectory / decay signal — NOT a freedom-to-operate (FTO) opinion and NOT a whitespace clearance.** Structural overlap is a **lower bound**. Whitespace = absence of *strong structural claim coverage in this corpus* (necessary-not-sufficient), never FTO. **The headline whitespace finding of this rerun is a negative one and it is deliberate — see §2.**

Public edition note. This is the public edition of the Epigenome V4 Target Opportunity Report. It presents the coverage, whitespace, trajectory, and decay reads that accompany the article. The underlying patent-craftsmanship (KC) strength scoring, per-family score tables, machine-readable knowledge graph, and raw scored inputs are part of the internal analyst deliverable and are not reproduced here; the strength layer is relative-ordering, calibration-pending work and is deliberately not published as a ranking.

PART I — ACT ON THIS

1. Actionable summary

Ranked by how well-grounded each call is in the expanded 95-family corpus. Each is a *starting hypothesis for a dedicated search*, not a clearance.

#	Observation you can act on	Grounding	What to do / verify
1	The foundational HDAC & EZH2 chemical genera are lapsing/expired — romidepsin (expired), vorinostat/SAHA (lapsed), GSK126 (lapsed 2018),	Strong (real legal timelines, §4)	First-generation composition-of-matter is no longer defended → non-obvious HDAC/EZH2 chemotypes have design-

#	Observation you can act on	Grounding	What to do / verify
	oxadiazole HDACi (lapsed 2019); +several more granted-but-lapse-contested families flagged this run.		around room around a decaying core. Confirm each lapse + any live continuations.
2	The strongest, freshest claims are menin-MLL and LSD1 (well-drafted, maintained, deep-Markush estates; menin-MLL is Orange-Book-linked, LSD1 granted to 2039).	Strong (native claim-strength + legal)	Entering these nodes means facing well-drafted, maintained, deep blockers — an inhibitor me-too is not viable ; differentiate the modality.
3	BET/BRD is the densest inhibitor estate in the class — 43 families, the only cell COVERED on multiple broad live genera (3), spanning oncology, cardiometabolic and inflammation.	Strong (now fully sampled: 43 families vs 0 in round-1)	BET small-molecule inhibitor space is crowded and well-defended — treat as a mature, contested node; do not plan a generic bromodomain me-too.
4	Direction of travel is inhibitor → degrader / recruiter-editor. Degraders go 0→9 filings and recruiter-editors 0→11 in the 2019–2024 era; inhibitors fall from 100% (pre-2013) to 62% of recent filings.	Moderate-Strong (trajectory on real 1989–2025 dates, N=95)	Treat “degrade or recruit rather than inhibit the enzyme” as the field’s momentum vector . The editing-recruiter estate is real and named.
5	China/Korea follow-on filers are clustering on EZH2, LSD1, BET and degraders.	Moderate (assignee origin)	Fast-followers on the mature nodes; freedom is narrowing where they cluster.
—	Degrader-direction empties on DNMT / menin / HDAC / LSD1 / EED / TET	COVERAGE-LIMITED / NOT-SEARCHED — NOT whitespace	See §2. Do not treat as open room; these are holes in what we could ingest, confirmed this run.

The one-sentence read: the defensible signal is that **the first-generation small-molecule *inhibitor* foundation (HDAC/EZH2) is decaying while the strongest, freshest claims sit on the newest nodes (menin-MLL, LSD1), BET is a crowded mature estate, and the field’s filing momentum is turning from *inhibit* toward *degrade / recruit-and-edit* — and, crucially, that no cell in this class can honestly be called clean whitespace yet**, because the one modality where the empties cluster (degraders) is the one modality we could not finish ingesting.

2. The whitespace finding is a **NEGATIVE** one — and that is the point

A V4 report normally leads with a whitespace map naming open cells. **This rerun deliberately declines to name any.** That is the honest result, and it is the direct product of doing what round-1 could not:

- **Round-1 could not support whitespace at all** — empty cells were sampling gaps. The audit that run **retracted** a “recruiter/editing whitespace” call for exactly that reason.
- **This run closed the sampling gaps as far as the tooling allows:** exhaustive discovery found **215 families** across the flagged fields; **~100 new families were ingested** (BET 0→43, editing-recruiter 3→14, degrader 1→9, DOT1L 0→9). The previously-empty cells are now **populated and tested**.
- **With the gaps closed, the empties that remain resolve into three honest categories — none of them “whitespace”:**

Empty-cell class	Count	Meaning	Example
COVERAGE-LIMITED	4	Families provably exist but could not be ingested (null publication numbers / discovery-leg errors). Not whitespace — a hole in our lens.	HDAC-degrader: 5 real families found this run all with null pubnums → un-ingestable . LSD1/EED/PRC2 degrader legs errored upstream.
NOT-SEARCHED	19	The exact query was never issued (e.g. “menin degrader”), OR the direction is mechanistically possible but unqueried. “We didn’t look” ≠ opportunity.	DNMT-degrader, menin-MLL-degrader, recruiter-of-enzyme cells.
IMPLAUSIBLE-BY-MECHANISM	2	Incoherent combination.	A programmable editor cannot be a small-molecule inhibitor or a PROTAC.
GENUINE-OPEN (whitespace)	0	—	—

- The audit **REJECTED the first version of this rerun** because 5 of 6 “open” degrader cells coincided with a documented coverage hole — the round-1 error repeating. We then **attempted to close the hole** and, confirming it is unclosable with current tooling, **downgraded all 6 to coverage-limited/not-searched**. The audit then **ACCEPTED**: the read is legitimate *because it declines to assert whitespace on an incompletely-sampled modality*.

What the corpus *does* legitimately support is the coverage-strength grid (below), the lapse/decay map (§4) and the trajectory (§4).

Coverage grid (what EXISTS and how strong, node × direction):

mechanism cell	verdict	scored core	live grants	max genus recall (lower bound)
BET-BRD / inhibitor	COVERED (densest; 3 broad live genera)	18	9	0.773
menin-MLL / inhibitor	COVERED — strongest/newest	3	3	0.716
EZH2 / inhibitor	COVERED (GSK126 lapsed)	5	3	0.57
LSD1-KDM1A / inhibitor	COVERED — consolidating	4	4	0.515
DNMT / inhibitor	COVERED (single family — weak)	1	1	0.857
HDAC / inhibitor	PARTIAL — decaying (no broad live genus; lapses)	6	2	0.903
programmable-editor / recruiter-repressor	PARTIAL (13 families, no compiled genus)	9	2	—
DOT1L / inhibitor	THIN (the broad genus is an application)	2	0	0.852
BET / degrader · EZH2 / degrader · PRC2-EED / inhibitor · editor / recruiter-activator	THIN (1–4 families)	1–4	0–1	0.14–0.55
DOT1L / degrader · TET	DEFERRAL_GAP / ADJACENCY_ONLY (present but biblio-only / method-only)	0	0	—

3. The chemical core — where live structural coverage sits

Across the genus-bearing subset, **median family_best recall $\approx$ 0.39 (a lower bound)** — even COVERED cells leave in-scaffold analog room. This is a *coverage-breadth* read, not FTO. The broadest live genera sit in the HDAC (lapse-contested), DNMT, BET, and menin-MLL cells. The BET-inhibitor cell is the only one resting on **three** independent broad live genera — structurally the best-defended node in the class.

PART II — METHOD & LIMITS (public summary)

How the reads were built

- **Full-landscape discovery** (USPTO ODP + BigQuery index + EPO OPS, US/EP/WO/CN) → **gap-field expansion ingest** (215 discovered → ~100 new)

families ingested) → Wikidata-grounded 4-axis scope ontology → claim-scope binning (95 families) → structural/genus + coverage grid + trajectory + knowledge graph → **three independent adversarial audits**. Candidate generation, NOT FTO; no prior heuristic score is an input.

- **Full-coverage node grid** (all 95 on-target): BET-BRD 43 · programmable-editor-effector 14 · DOT1L 9 · EZH2 8 · HDAC 8 · menin-MLL 4 · LSD1-KDM1A 4 · DNMT 3 · PRC2-EED 1 · TET 1. By direction: inhibitor 69 · recruiter-repressor 14 · degrader 9 · recruiter-activator 2 · diagnostic 1.
- **Trajectory (real filing dates 1989→2025, N=95)**: pre-2013 was 100% inhibitor; 2013–2018 added the first recruiter-repressor editors; 2019–2024 saw inhibitors fall to 62% while degraders rose from 0→9 and recruiter-repressors to 11. The class is diversifying away from pure enzymatic inhibition toward targeted degradation and programmable recruitment. (Caveat, non-blocking: the recent-era magnitude is somewhat inflated because this run deliberately went looking for recent degrader/editor filings — the *direction* of the shift is robust; the absolute recent count is an upper estimate.)
- **Lapse / structural decay**: foundational estates confirmed lapsed/expired — romidepsin (expired), vorinostat/SAHA (lapsed), GSK126 EZH2i (lapsed 2018), oxadiazole HDACi (lapsed 2019); a legal-consistency validator additionally flagged several families whose granted_active verdict is contradicted by lapse/discontinuation timeline events (read as contested and excluded from “live-granted” coverage).
- **Independent adversarial review**: the whitespace audit **REJECTED** → **fixes** → **ACCEPTED** — it caught 6 “open” degrader cells coinciding with documented coverage holes; after an unsuccessful attempt to close them, all 6 were downgraded to coverage-limited/not-searched (0 genuine-open), and application-kind guards were added (an application no longer counts as a live grant).

Named coverage limits (absence-first — not hidden)

- **A third of the on-target families are bibliographic-only** (deferred; CN/EP/WO members with no English claims) — counted for **coverage** but not **strength**.
- **The degrader modality was NOT exhaustively ingested**. HDAC-degrader and other LSD1/EED/PRC2 degrader families are **null-publication-number applications** the ingest tool cannot accept, and several discovery legs returned upstream errors. Degradable empties are therefore coverage holes, not opportunities.
- **Commercial epigenome-editing estates** that are US applications with null publication numbers are **not ingestable** and remain under-counted — the editing-recruiter coverage is a lower bound.
- **TET is genuinely thin** (1 family, method-combination only) — a lower-bound signal, partly a real scarcity and partly a coverage artifact.

The honest bottom line. This rerun did what was asked — it exhaustively ingested the flagged fields and re-ran the whole playbook. The result is a *legitimate* whitespace analysis, and its legitimate answer is: **on the chemistry that could be fully sampled (the inhibitor estates), coverage is dense and maturing, with BET/menin/LSD1 strong and**

HDAC/EZH2 decaying; and no cell qualifies as clean whitespace — the empties cluster in the degrader modality, which is precisely the modality current tooling cannot finish ingesting. The correct next action is a **degrader-focused ingest once null-pubnum applications and coverage-limited jurisdictions are reachable**, not an opportunity claim on today's holes.

This public edition presents the Epigenome coverage, whitespace, trajectory, and decay reads. Every number is computed on the 95-family on-target corpus on real filing dates. Candidate generation, NOT freedom-to-operate.